

Lecture 16

Gidon Rosalki

2024-12-22

1 Vertex Cover

Input: An undirected $G = (V, E)$, and a weight function $w : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ **Output:** A subset $S^* \subset V$ that contains all the edges of the graph, with a minimal weight for the subset:

$$w(S^*) = \sum_{x \in S^*} w(x)$$

What is the relative approximation between this problem, and the algorithm from the unweighted version? Unconstrained. For example, on a graph with two nodes, one of weight ε , and one of weight 1, the above algorithm will choose both nodes, when the optimal solution is just the node ε .

1.0.1 Strategy to create an approximate solution through linear programming

1. We will formalise the problem as a linear programming problem, with integer requirements (ILP)
2. We will remove the requirements of integer on the variables and switch them to linear. We have got a problem of linear programming, which we can solve in polynomial time.
3. We will find the optimal solution to the problem from 2
4. We will build a correct approximate solution to the problem through the partial solution that we found in step 3.

ILP:

- For every node $v \in V$ we will define a variable

$$x(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v \in S \\ 0, & \text{if } v \notin S \end{cases}$$

- We will check that every edge $e = (u, v) \in E$ is contained within the requirement:

$$x(u) + x(v) \geq 1$$

- We want to minimise the weight of the chosen solution:

$$w(S) = \sum_{v \in S} w(v) = \sum_{v \in V} x(v) \cdot w(v)$$

Let us formally represent the requirements for the ILP:

$$\min_{v \in V: x(u)+x(v) \geq 1 \wedge x(v) \in \{0,1\}} \{x(v) \cdot w(v)\}$$

Note this is not the standard form, since we are not maximising, but rather minimising.

Remove the integer requirements:

$$\min_{v \in V: x(u)+x(v) \geq 1 \wedge 0 \leq x(v) \leq 1} \{x(v) \cdot w(v)\}$$

Find optimal solution to step 2:

The ellipsoid algorithm find the optimal solution (if there is one) in polynomial time.

Theorem 1. *There always exists an optimal solution.*

Proof. There are a finite number of nodes

□

1.0.2 Connection between the optimal solution to LP and ILP

Let x_{LP}^* be the optimal solution to the linear programming problem, and x_{ILP}^* be the optimal solution to the integer linear programming problem. So

$$\sum_{v \in V} x_{LP}^*(v) \cdot w(v) \leq \sum_{v \in V} x_{ILP}^*(v) \cdot w(v)$$

Let there be S_{ILP} the set of correct solutions to the ILP problem, and S_{LP} the set of correct solutions to the LP problem. We shall note that for every integer solution it is also a solution to LP, and therefore $S_{ILP} \subset S_{LP}$. From here:

$$\begin{aligned} w(x_{LP}^*) &= \sum_{v \in V} x_{LP}^*(v) \cdot w(v) \\ &= \min_{x \in S_{LP}} \left\{ \sum_{v \in V} x(v) \cdot w(v) \right\} \\ &\leq \min_{x \in S_{ILP}} \left\{ \sum_{v \in V} x(v) \cdot w(v) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{v \in V} x_{ILP}^*(v) \cdot w(v) \\ &= w(x_{ILP}^*) \end{aligned}$$

1.0.3 We will build an approximate solution from the partial solution in step 3

Let there be x_{LP}^* the optimal solution to the linear programming problem. For all $v \in V$ we will define

$$x(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_{LP}^* \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & \text{if } x_{LP}^* < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2 (Lemma). *The rounded solution is a correct solution to the problem.*

Proof. Let there be $(u, v) \in E$ an edge in the graph. Since X_{LP}^* is a correct solution $x_{LP}^*(u) + x_{LP}^*(v) \geq 1$, from here $x_{LP}^*(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \vee x_{LP}^*(v) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. This implies that $x(u) = 1 \vee x(v) = 1$. Therefore (u, v) is covered, and x must be a covering. \square

Theorem 3. *The algorithm is a 2-approximation algorithm to the weighted vertex cover problem.*

Proof. Let there be x_{LP}^* the optimal partial solution, and x the rounded solution. Let $v \in V$, if $x_{LP}^*(v) < \frac{1}{2}$ then $x(v) = 0 \leq x_{LP}^*(v) \leq 2x_{LP}^*(v)$. If $x_{LP}^*(v) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ then $x(v) = 1 \leq 2x_{LP}^*(v)$, and therefore, for all $v \in V$, $x(v) \leq 2x_{LP}^*(v)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in V} x(v) \cdot w(v) &\leq \sum_{v \in V} 2x_{LP}^*(v) \cdot w(v) \\ &= 2 \sum_{v \in V} x_{LP}^*(v) \cdot w(v) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{v \in V} x_{LP}^*(v) \cdot w(v) \\ &= 2w(S^*) \end{aligned}$$

\square

2 Flow

2.0.1 Informal network flow

Input: A directed graph, the amounts that each edge can have pass through it, the origin node s , and destination node t
Legal flow:

1. Conservation of flow: What enters is what leaves
2. Capacity limit: What flows on an edge is not more than its capacity

Flux: The amount of flow that leaves the origin node s .

Objective: Find the flow with the maximised flux.

2.0.2 Formal network flow

Definition 2.1 (Network flow). $N = (V, E, c, s, t)$ where

- $G = (V, E)$ the directed graph
- $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, the capacity function on the edges
- $s \in V$, the origin node
- $t \in V$, the destination node

A correct flow in a flow network N is the function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ that satisfies:

- Capacity limit: $\forall e \in E \ 0 \leq f(e) \leq c(e)$
- Conservation of flow: $\forall v \in V \setminus \{s, t\} \quad \sum_{(u,v) \in E} f(u, v) = \sum_{(v,u) \in E} f(v, u)$

The flux for a given flow f , which is written $|f|$ is the amount of flow that leaves the end node t :

$$\sum_{x \in V \wedge (s,x) \in E} f(s, x)$$

The objective: Given a network flow N , find the flow with the maximised flux. We may solve this problem in polynomial time using Linear Programming. The Ford-Fulkerson algorithm solves this problem in **less time**, and ensures that integer flow in the case that there are integer capacities on the edges.

There is always a feasible solution to the problem, since the flow can be zero.

If we look at two correct flows f, g , then $q = \frac{f+g}{2}$ is also a correct flow. Since the set is closed, and convex, and there exists a feasible solution, then there is an optimal solution.